| Committees: Corporate Projects Board - for decision Projects Sub Committee- for decision Markets - for decision |           | Dates: 01 September 2021 15 September 2021 15 September 2021 |
|---|-----------|--|
| Subject: Billingsgate Action Plan -CCTV. Unique Project Identifier: PV ID confirmed post CPB via PMO.           |           | Gateway 2: Project Proposal Light                            |
| Report of: City Surveyor Report Author: Nicholas Sommerville  | CS 335/21 | For Decision   |

# **PUBLIC**

#### Recommendations

| Next steps and requested decisions       | provide greater se                               | ption: Replaceme<br>ecurity and control of<br>Gateway 5 - Auth                          | over day-to-day                | y operations. |
|--|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------|
|  | Next Steps:                                      |   |                                |               |
|  | Appoint speci<br>Requirements                    | alist consultant  | to devel                       | lop Employers |
|  | Open advertised tender to specialist contractors |   |                                | S             |
|  | Requested Dec                                    | isions:   |                                |               |
|  | It is recommend                                  | led that that Memi  | bers approve:                  |               |
|  | reach the 2. the antici                          | of <b>£11,000 for sta</b><br>next Gateway;<br>pated total estima<br>of including risk). |                                | •             |
| 2. Resource                              |  |   |                                |               |
| requirements to<br>reach next<br>Gateway | Item   | Reason  | Funds/<br>Source of<br>Funding | Cost (£)      |

|               | Staff costs  | To define<br>Employers<br>Requirements<br>and implement<br>tender<br>exercise | Tenants<br>Contributio<br>ns | £5,000         |
|---------------|--|---|------------------------------|----------------|
|               | Consultancy fees   |   | Tenants<br>Contributio<br>ns | £6,000         |
|               | Total  |   |                              | 11,000         |
|               |  | rovision reques<br>tisk Register – Ap   |                              | Gateway: 0 (as |
| 3. Governance | <ul> <li>Service C</li> </ul>  | Committee respon  | sible is Marke               | ets Committee  |
| arrangements  | Director of Markets is the Senior Responsible Officer                |   |                              |                |
|               | A project board will be appropriate incorporating the tenants/ LFMA. |   |                              |                |
|               |  |   |                              |                |

## **Project Summary**

| 4. | Context                              | Engagement with Billingsgate tenants for the Markets Colocation programme has focused tenants on the benefits of the new market and re-evaluation of the existing building systems in the meantime. There is currently antisocial behaviour to the trade car park with dumping of unwanted items and the current CCTV system is not fit for purpose to identify culprits.  |
|----|--------------------------------------|--|
| 5. | Brief description of project         | Replacement CCTV system required to provide greater security and control over day-to-day operations.   |
| 6. | Consequences if project not approved | Management of the site is labour intensive, made more difficult by its layout and configuration. Tenants report a variety of malpractices by users of the site (fly tipping, secondary and unlicensed trading etc) who take advantage of the situation and impact the Billingsgate brand. Without a modern CCTV system not all areas would be visible throughout the hours of operation and site standards cannot be effectively enforced. |
| 7. | SMART project objectives             | Reduced antisocial behaviour with 10% reduction in criminal activity per month from pre project baseline measured over three months.   |

| 8. Key benefits        | Improved surveillance and monitoring.  |  |
|------------------------|--|--|
|                        | Reduction in staffing resource; this could result efficiencies of £80,000 per annum with staffing reallocated. |  |
|                        | If ANPR cameras implemented allows vehicle scheduling for improved delivery logistics to be implemented.       |  |
| 9. Project category    | 1. Health and safety   |  |
| 10. Project priority   | B. Advisable   |  |
| 11. Notable exclusions | None   |  |

## **Options Appraisal**

| 12. Overview of | An enhancement to provide an integrated Automatic number                              |
|-----------------|---|
| options         | plate recognition system could assist with the implementation                         |
| ·               | and monitoring of a vehicle scheduling system to ensure vehicles attend as scheduled. |

#### **Project Planning**

| 13. Delivery period and key dates | Overall project: 12 months- completion May 2022 Key dates: Authority to Start Works Dec 2021 Other works dates to coordinate: coordinated with the Future Maintenance Plan |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| 14. Risk implications             | Overall project risk: Low Further information available within the Risk Register (Appendix 2)  |
| 15. Stakeholders and consultees   | Billingsgate Tenants Chamberlains Finance Information Services City Procurement.   |

## **Resource Implications**

|                                   | Likely cost range (excluding risk): £90   | ) 000- £480 000  |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 16. Total estimated cost          |   |  |  |
| COSt                              | Assumed £245,000 as as a ceiling – if tender estimates are in excess of £234,000 the project will not be progressed.  |  |  |
|                                   | Likely cost range (including risk): £90   | ,000-£585,000  |  |
| 17. Funding strategy              | Choose 1:   |  |  |
|                                   | No funding confirmed  |  |  |
|                                   | Funds/Sources of Funding  | Cost (£)   |  |
|                                   | Tenants contributions   | £245,000   |  |
|                                   | To  | tal 245,000  |  |
|                                   |   | tai  |  |
|                                   | £245,000 in tenant contributions following which the pandemic has affected trade a tenants will be very challenging for tenand that outstanding debt amongst tenants in Security staffing costs at Billingsgate Mathrough tenant service charges and any staffing costs will be a saving to tenants       | and income for nts, it should be noted s high.  arket are funded savings in security       |  |
| 18. Investment appraisal          | Payback period of three- four years based on reallocation of staff resources with efficiencies of £60-80,000.   |  |  |
|                                   | At present there is very little CCTV cover such as the trade car park. There are five posts who patrol the trade car park during Collectively, with on-costs, these posts of With high quality CCTV in place, the requiposts (or at least some) will be reduced a will be redeployed elsewhere, hence the | e Parking Marshal g trading hours. ost c.£100k p.a. uirement for these and these resources |  |
| 19. Procurement strategy/route to | Completion of ERs by external consultan tender.   | t procured via limited   |  |
| market                            | Procurement of the CCTV works: open a Capital eSourcing procurement portal an Tender Service (FTS) depending on cont value. An appraisal of procurement optio Appendix 3.   | d potentially via Find a<br>irmed estimated  |  |
| 20. Legal implications            | None  |  |  |

| 21. Corporate property implications         | The proposals in this report meet key objectives of the Corporate Property asset Management Strategy;   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | Operational assets remain in a good, safe and statutory compliant condition.  |  |
|   | Operational assets are fit for purpose and meet service delivery needs.   |  |
| 22. Traffic implications                    | The CCTV will deter unauthorised parking in the trade car park. If ANPR option is agreed this will allow logistics and vehicle scheduling to be implemented and trialled ahead of the main MCP project. |  |
| 23. Sustainability and energy implications  | A more modern system will be more energy efficient  |  |
| 24. IS implications                         | adopt common systems/ products across the portfolio or<br>City Estate   |  |
| 25. Equality Impact<br>Assessment           | An equality impact assessment will not be undertaken  |  |
| 26. Data Protection<br>Impact<br>Assessment | The risk to personal data is high and a data protection impact assessment will be undertaken and considered for the management of data.   |  |

## **Appendices**

| Appendix 1 | Project Briefing     |
|------------|----------------------|
| Appendix 2 | Risk Register        |
| Appendix 3 | PT3                  |
| Appendix 4 | Cost Plan            |
| Appendix 5 | High level Programme |

#### **Contact**

| Report Author    | Nicholas Sommerville                     |
|------------------|--|
| Email Address    | Nicholas.sommerville@cityoflondon.gov.uk |
| Telephone Number | 02073321774                              |